



Generalisation of heterogeneous topographic linear features to derive a German Alpine club map

Sifa Mawiyoo

Outline



- Introduction
- Research Problem
- Research Objective
- Research Questions
- Literature Review
- Methodology and Implementation
- Results
- Discussion
- Conclusion

Introduction

- Thesis presents two models that support the generalisation of heterogeneous topographic linear features.
 - Study area: Ushba Mountains, Republic of Georgia
 - Source Datasets
 - » Open Street Map
 - Satellite images digitisation
 - GPS Field Data
 - » Global Digital Elevation Models
 - Target Scale is 1:33,000

"Generalisation aims to simplify representation of geographic data in the map production process"



Research Problem

4





Ineffective generalisation results in coalescence conflicts

Shown here as (A) a feature overlapping itself (Selfoverlapping)

Or (B) features of different road classes overlapping other features

Source: Mapy.cz 2020

Research Problem

5





Source: Mapy.cz 2020

Ineffective generalisation results in coalescence conflicts

Shown here as: Features of Different Types(Roads and Rivers) overlapping other features.

Generalisation inefficiencies result in coalescence conflicts among and between features.

Research Problem





Disagreements / misalignments in topographically linked datasets.

Shows here as: Misalignments between the contour lines and the position of the river.

Rivers should flow along the path of lowest elevation in the valley. "The Talweg".

Generalisation inefficiencies result in coalescence conflicts among and between features.

Source: Mapy.cz 2020

Research Objectives



- 1. Explore generalisation methodologies that can solve coalescence conflicts across the available linear features.
- 2. Explore generalisation operators to refine and optimise the content of the map from the source scale to the target scale.
- 3. Explore solutions to harmonise two heterogeneous datasets namely the contours and the river network.
- 4. To build a modelling solution that is fully or partially automated and capable of generating the improved generalised and cartographic results.



Research Questions



- 1. Which generalisation approach can be used to refine the network of linear features and optimally reduce the content load at the set scale for the target use case?
- 2. Which generalisation algorithms/approaches can be used to detect and resolve standard coalescence and self-coalescence conflicts?
- 3. What generalisation and spatial adjustment process can be used to harmonise topographical representations between contours and waterways from heterogeneous data sources?

Literature Review – Line feature generalisation



- Yan (2019), Highlighted road network selection algorithms for network generalisation including :
 - Semantic based algorithms Using street type, rank order and importance
 - Graph based algorithms Focusing on topological relationships and centrality
 - Stroke based algorithms strokes of roads as important aspect for good continuation in dense urban centres
 - Hybrid Comprehensive approach Combining metric, statistical, topological, thematic information and scale (micro, macro variations in the selection process.

Overall effect is to:

select or omit features, reduce the quantity of features involved in the network maintain connectivity between important places make room for subsequent generalisation requirements



Literature Review - Line feature generalisation



 Agent Based Generalisation models works on identifying cartographic conflicts then applying a local specific transformation to features that are in continuous conflict automatically. (Gaffuri, 2007)



Example: Coalescence conflicts solved with the agent based generalisation approach by applying displacement operator to a line feature (Gaffuri, 2007).

• ***User-directed generalisation using an **optimised constraint** approach

 Uses a ranking system & defined hierarchy to set the order in which features that are in conflict are adjusted for different scales.

Literature Review – Contour & Waterway Matching TIM 🔛 🖗

- Uses Spatial Constraint knowledge to detect and correct inconsistencies between contour and river network.
- Generalisation based on Agents and Elasticity Model (GAEL)
 - Parts of objects are considered based on:
 - Internal constraints (shape preservation)
 - External constraints (deformation requirements)
 - Resulting deformation (displacement of some parts of the object)



Example: Applying the GAEL Model to deform the relief using the hydrographic network. (Gaffuri, 2007)











Contours adjusted to rivers

(Ai et al. 2014)

- ***Conflation of DEM's with Hydrographic lines
 - Uses spatial adjustment techniques to match positions of streams extracts in DEM data to reference hydrographic lines

11

Methodology – Linear Feature Generalisation



Co-opts a series tools available in ArcGIS Pro for cartographic generalisation based on the optimised constraint approach

- Network Generalisation / Refinement is performed by:
 - Thin Roads network tool Recommends line segments of omission based on a minimum distance threshold and selects line segments that are important for connectivity through.



Elimination of insignificant road segments using Thin road network tool.

(Punt & Watkins, 2010)

Methodology – Linear Feature Generalisation

Co-opts a series tools in ArcGIS Pro for cartographic generalisation based on the optimised constraint approach

Conflict Detection and Resolution

- Graphical Conflict detection tool Detects features in conflict with each other Overlapping features
- Resolve Road Conflict tool Calculates the displacement feature which contains the Direction and Distance a feature segment is required to move to resolve a conflict.
- Propagate displacement tool Implements the displacement of features while maintaining spatial relationships to other features.





13

Implementation – Linear Feature Generalisation



Methodology – DEM Conflation for Contour generation and river network matching.



Co-opts an Open source python toolbox for Conflation of DEM with reference hydrographic lines.

• Pre-Processing - Assigning Modified Hack Ordering of streams



Field Name	Definition	Application
ID	Unique identifier	
CONFL	ID of stream that current stream outflows to	Outlet is the end node of the stream with CONFL = -1 Confluence is the End node of stream with CONFL ≠ -1
BIFUR	ID of inflowing stream to the current stream	Source is the start node of stream with BIFUR = -1 Bifurcation is the start node of stream with BIFUR \neq -1
ITER	Number of iterations during which a counterpart of the current stream should be extracted	If CONFL = -1 and BIFUR =-1 then ITER =1 Then corresponding streams are excluded from the list and iterations begin starting with i=2 and continues with i = i + 1
ORDER	Modified Stream Order	
ТҮРЕ	Stream type with respect to bifurcation process	If the BIFUR = -1 the type is a 'main'
		If the BIFUR \neq - 1 the type is a 'distributary'

Methodology – DEM Conflation for Contour generation and river network matching.



Co-opts an Open source python toolbox for Conflation of DEM with reference hydrographic lines.

- Processing -
 - Defining and applying parameters for counterpart stream extractions
 - Conflation process
- Post Processing Surface landform exaggeration and Contour generation.

Parameter	Definition	Application
Catch Radius (r)	Limits the maximum spatial	Minimum value = DEM Pixel size (R)
	deviation of a counterpart	Larger r values guarantee a counterpart will be extracted but
	from its reference line	finding flow line can be challenging if r value is close to R
		Recommendation
		catch radius is pixel size multiplied by positive integer value
		(k).
Minimum flow	Defines lower limit of	Higher (a) value = more significant naths are identified as
accumulation (a)	possible magnitudes of	counternart streams too large an (a) value risks now
accumulation (a)	counternart streams	matching counternart being found
	counterpart streams	
		Recommendation
		the minimum flow accumulation (a) value is \leq (k) integer
		value
Off stream Penalty	Defines how strictly the least-	W value should be large enough to penalise algorithm from
(w)	cost counterpart will follow	jumping from one stream to another while calculating the3
	the drainage network defined	shorted path.
	by a	
		Recommendation
		(w) is 10 times a positive integer value (m)
Maximum deviation	Maximum deviation distance	
	of a counterpart stream from	
	its possible reference line.	

Implementation – DEM Conflation for Contour generation and river network matching.



Summary of the implemented work flow.

Highlights.

- Resampling the DEM to 10X10m Grid sizes (Bilinear resampling)
- DEM smoothing with Focal statistics tool for smooth contours

Results - Linear Feature Generalisation



– Walkways

waterway

stream

250 500

1,000 m

0

Road

Tracks

Sample Area viewed before and after generalisation.

• Line feature network is generalised.

• Overlapping conflicts are corrected by the displacement operation.

AFTER

Road

Main Road

Results - DEM Conflation for Contour generation **TIM III III C C C** and river network matching.



Sample contours comparing before and after conflation of the DEM.

• Improved alignment of the contours to the rivers course.

Discussion – Linear feature generalisation



Network Generalisation

Quality of the data and topology of the network features is crucial the best results, including removal of parallel features.



Discussion – Linear feature generalisation



- Conflict Detection and Resolution.
 - Detects features with coalescence conflicts with other features
 - Does not detect Self-Overlapping conflicts
 - Displacement only applied to conflicts between different features.



1. Pay no attention to conflicts for immobile objects like bridges in intersection conflicts post road conflict resolution

2. Note Self Overlapping features are not detected. Semi automated intervention required.

3. Standard displacement of road feature from immobile river feature. Conflict is detected only before resolution and not after.



21

Discussion – Linear feature generalisation



- Conflict Detection and Resolution.
 - Detects features with coalescence conflicts with other features
 - Does not detect Self-Overlapping conflicts

Manual Intervention required

 User directed approach enabled additional components to be added to model i.e.

Barrier Features

Self Overlapping Conflicts
Image: Conflicts

Image: Confli

Discussion – Contour generation and River matching

Counterpart Extraction Evaluation

• Iteratively adjust parameters to improve extraction results.







Discussion – Contour generation and River matching

Profile Cut Comparison across all DEM models.



Sampled Profile Cut



Discussion – Contour generation and River matching

Contour Results comparing contours from:

The source 30m DEM

Conflated 30m DEM

Hybrid – Combined 10M DEM Counterpart extraction with conflated 30m DEM

A) Improved result with hybrid approach.B) Limited suitability for large scale maps



25

Conclusions



- 1. This research shows that user directed generalisation using and optimised constraint based approach was able simplify and refine the road network using the Thin road network tool.
- 2. In combination with the resolve road conflicts tool and propagate displacement. the approach can also solve coalescence conflicts by making line adjustments / displacements for conflicting features.
 - Additional data inputs ie. Through the use of Barrier features are needed to solve self coalescence conflicts.
 - It's a flexible approach and can be extended to polygon based line features such as river banks.

Conclusions



- 3. Conflation of DEM surfaces to Reference hydrographic lines can be used to harmonise topographic representations between contours and waterways from heterogenous data sources.
 - There are scale limitations and dependencies that will influence the success of ٠ this approach. Therefore it should be limited to small scale maps.
 - Computationally challenging to implement for large areas and fine resolution ٠ DEMs (Roughly 1000X1000 pixel limit)
- Using generalisation tools from ArcGIS and the Python toolbox for 4. conflation a model for generalisation of linear features has been implemented.



References



- Ai, T., Yang, M., Zhang, X., & Tian, J. (2014). Detection and correction of inconsistencies between river networks and contour data by spatial constraint knowledge. *Cartography and Geographic Information Science*, *42*, 79–93. https://doi.org/10.1080/15230406.2014.956673
- ESRI. (2021a). An overview of the Graphic Conflicts toolset—ArcGIS Pro | Documentation (ArcGIS Pro V2.7). ESRI. https://pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/latest/tool-reference/cartography/an-overview-of-the-graphic-conflicts-toolset.htm
- ESRI. (2021b). *Detect Graphic Conflict (Cartography)* (ArcGIS Pro Ver. 2.8). ESRI. https://pro.arcgis.com/en/proapp/latest/tool-reference/cartography/detect-graphic-conflict.htm
- ESRI. (2021c). *How Thin Road Network works* (ArcGIS Pro Ver. 2.8). ESRI. https://pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/latest/tool-reference/cartography/how-thin-road-network-works.htm
- Gaffuri, J. (2007). Field deformation in an agent-based generalisation model: The GAEL model. J. Gaffuri, 1.
- Guilbert, E., Gaffuri, J., & Jenny, B. (2014). Terrain Generalisation. In D. Burghardt, C. Duchêne, & W. Mackaness (Eds.), *Abstracting Geographic Information in a Data Rich World: Methodologies and Applications of Map Generalisation* (pp. 227– 258). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-00203-3_8
- Li, Z. (2006). Algorithmic foundation of multi-scale spatial representation. In *Algorithmic Foundation of Multi-Scale Spatial Representation* (1st ed.). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420008432

References



- Mackaness, W. A., & Chaudhry, O. (2008). Generalization and Symbolization. In S. Shekhar & H. Xiong (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of GIS* (pp. 330–339). Springer US. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-35973-1_449</u>
- *Mapy.cz*. (2020). Seznam. https://en.mapy.cz/zakladni?x=42.6330608&y=43.0444772&z=11&lgnd=1
- McMaster, R. B., & Shea, K. S. (1992). *Generalization in Digital Cartography Resource Publications in Geography* (K. S. Shea, Ed.). Association of America Geographers.
- Park, W., & Yu, K. (2011). Hybrid line simplification for cartographic generalization. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, *32*(9), 1267–1273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2011.03.013
- Punt, E., & Watkins, D. (2010). User-directed generalization of roads and buildings for multi-scale cartography. *Zurich Punt & Watkins*, *1*.
- Regnauld, N., & McMaster, R. B. (2007). Chapter 3 A synoptic View of Generalisation Operators. In W. A. Mackaness, A. Ruas, & L. T. Sarjakoski (Eds.), *Generalisation of Geographic Information* (pp. 37–66). Elsevier Science B.V. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-008045374-3/50005-3
- Ruas, A. (2008). Map Generalization. In S. Shekhar & H. Xiong (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of GIS* (pp. 631–632). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-35973-1_743

References



- Samsonov, T. E. (2020). Automated Conflation of Digital Elevation Model with Reference Hydrographic Lines. In *ISPRS* International Journal of Geo-Information (Vol. 9, Issue 5). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi9050334
- Sester, M. (2008). Abstraction of Geodatabases. In S. Shekhar & H. Xiong (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of GIS* (pp. 7–10). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17885-1_13
- Yan, H. (2019). Description and Generalization of Road Networks. In *Description Approaches and Automated Generalization Algorithms for Groups of Map Objects* (pp. 69–108). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-3678-2_4

Questions





22 C

26

