



Cartography M.Sc.

Master thesis

Multi Criteria Decision Analysis and Automatic Submarine Cable Routing

Daphné Tapia

Technical
University
of Munich



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
WIEN
Vienna University of Technology



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DRESDEN



UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

2020

Multi Criteria Decision Analysis and Automatic Submarine Cable Routing

Submitted for the academic degree of Master of Science (M.Sc.)

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Geo-informatics and to the Institute of Cartography of the Technical University of Dresden in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the joint Master of Science in Cartography.

Author: Daphn   Tapia

Study course: Cartography M.Sc.

Supervisor: Dr.-Ing. Stephan M  s (TUD), Chair of Geoinformatics

Reviewer: Drs. Richard Knippers (UT), Department of Geo-information Processing and Faculty of Geo-information Science and Earth Observation

Cooperation: Alcatel Submarine Networks

Date of submission: 10.09.2020



Multi Criteria Decision Analysis and Automatic Submarine Cable Routing

Alcatel Submarine Networks, Nozay, France

ASN supervisor: Michele Barezzani

Statement of Authorship

Herewith I declare that I am the sole author of the submitted Master's thesis entitled:

“Multi Criteria Decision Analysis and Automatic Submarine Cable Routing”

I have fully referenced the ideas and work of others, whether published or unpublished. Literal or analogous citations are clearly marked as such.

Paris, 10.09.2020

Daphn   Tapia

Confidentiality Clause

Reproduction rights for this document are held by Alcatel Submarine Networks. Without the written consent of Alcatel Submarine Networks, provided by contract or any other form, this document may not be copied, printed or reproduced, in whole or in part. The contents of this document, as well as the methods and techniques described should not be communicated to any third party.

Acknowledgment

This thesis could not be possible without the participation and assistance from many people. First, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my first supervisor Dr.-Ing. Stephan Mäs for the guidance through each discussion. Your comments and insights were always a great help. I would like to thank Prof. Dipl.-Phys. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dirk Burghardt and Drs. Richard Knippers for the insightful feedback during the research proposal and the midterm presentation. Thanks to Mr Mathias Gröbe for his availability and great help. Thanks also to Dr.Eva Hauthal and Mrs. Juliane Cron for their involvement throughout the program.

I would like to thank the Marine Business Development team from Alcatel Submarine Networks for being so gentle and welcoming. Thank you for teaching me and sharing with me your knowledge about the submarine cable industry and for turning this internship into such a meaningful experience. It was a true honor and pleasure to work with you, Michele Barezzani, François-Xavier Abrial and Alban Crusson.

I am grateful to my classmates from whom I have learned a lot. The two years of the master's program are a unique and unforgettable experience. Finally, I would like to thank my family for their unconditional love and support, my friends for being so faithful, and Michael, for whom no more words are needed because he knows how I feel towards him.

Abstract

Submarine cable routing is a critical phase in the definition of a new submarine cable system: this complex activity is traditionally performed manually. The aim of this master's thesis is to define methods and algorithms to automatically generate submarine cable paths on the sea bottom. The objective is to determine optimal routes in a time and cost-efficient manner. Tests were run on different geographic zones using the Least Cost Path Algorithm, while trying different cost functions.

Keywords: Multi Criteria Decision Analysis, Single Criteria, Least Cost Path, Automatic Submarine Cable Routing.

Acronyms

AC	Alter Course
ASN	Alcatel Submarine Networks
BMH	Beach ManHole
BU	Branching Unit
CRE	Cable Route Estimate
CSV	Comma-Separated Value
CZ	Contiguous Zones
DA	Double Armour
DB	DataBase
DTS	Desktop Study
EZ	Economic Zones
FZ	Fishing Zones
GIS	Geographic Information System
H-SEAS	High Seas
ICPC	International Cable Protection Committee
LCPA	Least Cost Path Analysis
LW	LightWeigth
LWP	LightWeight Protected
MCDA	Multi Criteria Decision Analysis
NM	Nautical Miles
PFE	Power Feeding Equipment
ROADM	Reconfigurable Optical Add-Drop Multiplexer
ROV	Remotely Operate
RPL	Route Position List
SA	Single Armour
SE	Shore End
SOLAS	Safety Of Life At Sea
TR	Transition
TW	Territorial Waters
UNCLOS	United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea
UXO	UneXploded Ordnance
WD	Water Depth

Table of Contents

1 Introduction	13
1.1 Motivation.....	13
1.2 Background.....	14
1.2.1 Alcatel Submarine Networks	14
1.2.2 Submarine Telecom Networks	15
1.3 Research Identification & Objectives.....	17
1.3.1 Research Questions	17
1.3.2 An innovative topic	18
1.4 Thesis Structure	19
2 State-of-the-art submarine cable routing.....	19
2.1 Cable Route Engineering.....	20
2.1.1 General Constraints and Obstacles	21
2.1.2 Manual Routing.....	23
2.1.3 Cable Installation.....	24
2.1.4 Cable Relative Cost	25
2.2 Very Rough Order of Magnitude	25
3 Research Methodology.....	27
3.1 Workflow: Apply GIS function to Cable Routing	28
3.1.1 Bathymetric data: the most important input to the cost raster.....	28
3.1.2 Chosen areas for test.....	28
3.2 Single Criteria Analysis.....	29
3.3 Multi Criteria Analysis	30
3.4 Analytical Hierarchy Process.....	30
4 Implementation and Software.....	32
4.1 Data description	32
4.1.1 Bathymetric and Coastal Data.....	32
4.1.2 Global Marine Cable Database.....	32
4.1.3 Maritime Boundaries	33
4.2 ArcMap.....	34
4.3 Data Preparation.....	36
4.3.1 The Land	36
4.3.2 The GEBCO Charts	36

4.3.3 The Beach Man Holes (BMH)	36
4.3.4 Determining adequate cost function	37
4.3.5 Cable crossings.....	39
5 Single & Multi Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA).....	39
5.1 Intelligent Phase and problem definition	40
5.1.1 Evaluation Criteria.....	41
5.1.2 Constraints	41
5.2 Design Phase.....	41
5.2.1 Decision Matrix.....	41
5.2.2 Alternatives	41
5.2.3 Decision Rules	42
5.3 Choice Phase.....	42
5.3.1 Sensitivity Analysis	42
5.3.2 Comparison	43
5.3.3- Recommendation & Conclusion	53
6 Analytical Hierarchy Process	53
6.1 Features	53
6.2 Implementation	56
6.3 Limits	58
7 Results	59
7.1 Definition of cable route assessment criteria	59
7.2 Strength, weaknesses and limitations of the proposed methodology	63
8 Discussion and future work	64
8.1 Answers to the research questions.....	64
8.2 Future Works	65
8.2.1 Implementing Slopes and Sediments Maps.	65
8.2.2 Introducing currents.....	66
8.2.3 Implementing Maritime Boundaries Data.....	66
8.2.4 BU: addition of a branch to a trunk link	66
8.2.5 Use of a “Genetic” approach to cable routing.....	67
8.2.6 Submarine Cable Routing in Arctic Waters	68
Conclusion.....	72
Bibliography	73

List of Figures

Figure 1 World Map of submarine cable systems	14
Figure 2 ASN equipment for marine operation	15
Figure 3.1 Point to point Figure 3.2 Point to multi-point	16
Figure 4 Submarine cable infrastructures.....	17
Figure 5 schema of thesis structure.....	19
Figure 6: Four major types of submarine cable	20
Figure 7 Angles for alter course	23
Figure 8 The different steps while creating and discussing contract	26
Figure 9 Schema of the overall methodology that was used	27
Figure 10 Single criteria analysis workflow.....	29
Figure 11 Workflow analytical hierarchy process	31
Figure 12 Buffer 0.001° corridor around the GMCD	33
Figure 13 Maritime boundaries	34
Figure 14 Sawtooth aspect on the LCPA	35
Figure 15 Smoothed aspect on the LCPA	35
Figure 16 1/-Z cost function.....	37
Figure 17 1/Log(-Z+1) cost function	38
Figure 18 1/Z ^{1/2} cost function	38
Figure 19 Cost functions comparison	38
Figure 20 Cost function according to cable cost criteria	39
Figure 21 The three phases (Intelligence, Design & Choice) of MCDA	40
Figure 22 GMCD between Barcelona and Port Said	43
Figure 23 Single & two-criteria route	44
Figure 24 Comparing the number of crossings.....	45
Figure 25 Zoom-in	46
Figure 26 Influence of the slope raster chart on the MCDA	47
Figure 27 Results	48
Figure 28 Raster chart of the GMCD.....	49
Figure 29 1/ Log (-Z+1)	50
Figure 30 Strait of Messina, the 1/Log(-Z+1) cost function	51
Figure 31 Hierarchical structure	53
Figure 32 Barcelona Port Said: AHP	57
Figure 33 Weights used for the AHP method.....	58
Figure 34.1 Graphs Barcelona Port Said.....Figure 34.2 Graphs Sesimbra Marseilles	61
Figure 35 The resolution of the GEBCO 2014 raster charts is 0.008°.....	64
Figure 36 Sediments	65
Figure 37 Maritime boundaries (EEZ) map	66
Figure 38 Branching Unit method	67
Figure 39 Genetic approach	68
Figure 40 Extension of the arctic sea ice in August 1984.....	69
Figure 41 Extension of the arctic sea ice in August 2019.	69
Figure 42 Mosaic of overlapping sidescan sonographs showing a thoroughly ice-scoured sea floor beneath the Beaufort Sea ice-shear zone.....	70
Figure 43 Drift trajectories for 50 icebergs released at each of three Greenland outlet glaciers	71
Figure 44 IBCAO chart of the arctic region.....	71

List of Tables

Table 1: Research objectives and questions	18
Table 3: Type of cable corresponding to the water depth	20
Table 2: Restricted zones	21
Table 4: Comparative costs of cable types	25
Table 5: Set of objectives	41
Table 6: Comparison of the number of crossings between three different roads	49
Table 7: System length (km)	49
Table 8: Normalized cable cost (%).....	50
Table 9: Results obtained with the $1/\text{Log}(-Z+1)$ function (km and cost).....	50
Table 10: Comparison between the two function ($1/-Z$) and $\text{LOG} (-Z+1)$ over Barcelona Port Said going through the Strait of Messina.....	51
Table 11: Results in length (km) and cost (%) between the 5 different cost functions over Barcelona – Port Said without going through the strait of Messina.....	52
Table 12: Comparison of the three function over two different areas	52
Table 13: Comparison scale as used by Saaty (1995).....	54
Table 14: Pairwise comparison matrix.....	54
Table 15: Normalized comparison matrix:.....	55
Table 16: Consistency check	56
Table 17: Comparing functions over Barcelona - Port Said.....	59
Table 18: Comparing functions over Sesimbra Marseilles	61

Bibliography

- Alanbari, Mohammad Ali, Nадир Al-Ansari, Hadeel Kareem Jasim, and Sven Knutsson. “Al-Mseiab Qadaa Landfill Site Selection Using GIS and Multicriteria Decision Analysis.” *Engineering* 06, no. 09: 526–49, (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.4236/eng.2014.69055>.
- Bagli, Stefano, Davide Geneletti, and Francesco Orsi. “Routeing of Power Lines through Least-Cost Path Analysis and Multicriteria Evaluation to Minimise Environmental Impacts.” *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* 31, no. 3: 234–39, (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2010.10.003>.
- Bansal, V. K., and Mahesh Pal. “Construction Projects Scheduling Using GIS Tools.” *International Journal of Construction Management* 11, no. 1: 1–18, (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2011.10773158>.
- Bemmelen, Joost van, Wilko Quak, Marcel van Hekken, and Peter van Oosterom. “Vector vs. Raster-Based Algorithms for Cross Country Movement Planning,” n.d., (2014).
- Chang Kang-tsung “Introduction to Geographic Information Systems”, (2012).
- Coote, Ian S. “Handbook for Ocean Cable Engineering.” *Unpublished Notes* 1, n.d., (2009).
- Durmaz, Ali, Erdinç Ünal, and Cevdet Aydin. “Automatic Pipeline Route Design with Multi-Criteria Evaluation Based on Least-Cost Path Analysis and Line-Based Cartographic Simplification: A Case Study of the Mus Project in Turkey.” *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information* 8, no. 4: 173, (2019).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi8040173>.
- Kang, Ju Young, and Byung Suk Lee. “Optimisation of Pipeline Route in the Presence of Obstacles Based on a Least Cost Path Algorithm and Laplacian Smoothing.” *International Journal of Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering* 9, no. 5: 492–98, (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnaoe.2017.02.001>.
- Macharis, Springael, Brucker, Verbeke. “PROMETHEE and AHP: the design of operational synergies in multicriteria analysis: strengthening PROMETHEE with ideas of AHP”. *European Journal of Operational Research*, no.153: 307-317, (2004).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217\(03\)00153-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(03)00153-X)
- Malczewski, Jacek. “GIS-Based Multicriteria Decision Analysis: A Survey of the Literature”. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, no. 20: 703-726, (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13658810600661508>

Meng, Yunliang, Jacek Malczewski, and Soheil Boroushaki. "A GIS-Based Multicriteria Decision Analysis Approach for Mapping Accessibility Patterns of Housing Development Sites: A Case Study in Canmore, Alberta." *Journal of Geographic Information System* 03, no. 01: 50–61, (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.4236/jgis.2011.31004>.

Paski, "The TAT-8 undersea cable system." Optical Fiber Communication Conference *OSA Technical Digest Series (Optical Society of America)* 05, (1989).

"ROUTE ENGINEERING HANDBOOK ISSUE" n.d., (2016).

Saaty, T.L. "The Analytic Hierarchy Process." *McGraw-Hill* 06, (1980).

Saida Saeedrashed, Younis. "An Experimental Comparison of Line Generalization Algorithms in GIS," *International Journal of Advanced Remote Sensing and GIS edition*, (2014)

"Symbol and Abbreviations Used on Admiralty Charts." In *UK Admiralty Charts and Publications*, (2008).

Wang et al. "Cost-Effective Path Planning for Submarine Cable", n.d., (2019).

Wang, Qing, Jun Guo, Zengfu Wang, Elias Tahchi, Xinyu Wang, Bill Moran, and Moshe Zukerman. "Cost-Effective Path Planning for Submarine Cable Network Extension." *IEEE Access* 7: 61883–95, (2019)
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2915125>.